

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 2.0. Blx 1450 Absorbidia, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/895,433	06/29/2001	Ramesh Pendakur	42390P11604	8953	
7590 11/07/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			BAKER, STEPHEN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133		
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/895,433	PENDAKUR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen M. Baker	2133
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the closed in accordance.	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matt	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 57-78 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) 68-74 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 57-67 and 75-78 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	04 is/are: a) accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because: in the last line, "the retransmits" apparently should be "then re-transmits". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Fig. 7, most notably step 760 and the specification's discussion thereof (p. 22+), is logically awkward, confusing, and apparently incorrect and/or inadequate. Step 760 apparently functions to form a number of "missing content" records equal to the number of identifiers in the manifest if a single received packet identifier does not match any content identifiers in the manifest, the same "missing content" record-making process apparently being repeated (770, 780, 730) for each identifier on the manifest. Iterations through step 760 thus appear to compile a list of "missing content" records comprising every content identifier in the manifest for every single packet that apparently shouldn't have been received in the first place, all of which have nothing to do with processing of packets that actually should be received, i.e. packets that are consistent with the manifest. Apparently nothing at all is done if the received packet identifier matches an identifier in the manifest (730, 740).

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,433 Page 3

Art Unit: 2133

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 57-67 and 75-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 57: "transmitting ... by one or more selected from broadcasting and narrowcasting" apparently should be "transmitting ... by one or more transmission methods selected from broadcasting and narrowcasting" or the like.

Regarding claim 75: "re-transmitted ... by one or more selected from broadcasting and narrowcasting" apparently should be "re-transmitted ... by one or more transmission methods selected from broadcasting and narrowcasting" or the like.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 68-74 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 57-67 and 75-78 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Baker whose telephone number is (571) 272-3814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00 AM - 7:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,433

Art Unit: 2133

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen M. Baker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133

smb